

**PATENT**  
**Application 10/773,640**  
**Attorney Docket 2003-0195 (1014-211)**

**REMARKS**

Applicant respectfully thanks the Examiner for the consideration provided to this application, and respectfully requests reconsideration of this application.

Each of claims 1-6 and 8 has been amended for at least one reason unrelated to patentability, including at least one of: to explicitly present one or more elements, limitations, phrases, terms and/or words implicit in the claim as originally written when viewed in light of the specification, thereby not narrowing the scope of the claim; to detect infringement more easily; to enlarge the scope of infringement; to cover different kinds of infringement (direct, indirect, contributory, induced, and/or importation, etc.); to expedite the issuance of a claim of particular current licensing interest; to target the claim to a party currently interested in licensing certain embodiments; to enlarge the royalty base of the claim; to cover a particular product or person in the marketplace; and/or to target the claim to a particular industry.

Support for amendments to each of claims 1 and 5 can be found in the application as originally submitted at least at page 5, lines 9-23 and FIG. 5. Support for amendments to claim 2 can be found in the application as originally submitted at least at page 4, lines 18-23. Support for amendments to claim 3 can be found in the application as originally submitted at least at page 6, lines 6-14. Support for amendments to claim 4 can be found in the application as originally submitted at least at page 7, lines 18-22. Support for amendments to claim 6 can be found in the application as originally submitted at least at page 5, lines 22-23. Support for amendments to claim 8 can be found in the application as originally submitted at least at page 5, lines 5-13 and FIG. 9. It is respectfully submitted that no new matter has been added.

Claims 1-9 are now pending in this application. Each of claims 1 and 5 is in independent form.

**The Anticipation Rejections**

Each of claims 1-9 was rejected as anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). In support of the rejection, various portions of U.S. Patent 7,145,900 ("Nix") were applied. These rejections are respectfully traversed as moot in view of the present amendments to each of claims 1-6 and 8.

Specifically, each of claims 1 and 5, from one of which each of claims 2-4 and 6-9 ultimately depends, state, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the

**PATENT**  
**Application 10/773,640**  
**Attorney Docket 2003-0195 (1014-211)**

applied portions of Nix teach, "the IP device adapted to translate an SIP address into one or more physical addresses on the IP network, the IP device adapted to route the call over multiple parallel network call paths to ring a separate phone at each of a plurality of destinations, the plurality of destinations comprising a cell phone destination and a PBX phone destination". For at least this reason, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 1 and 5 is unsupported by Nix and should be withdrawn. Also, the rejection of claims 2-4 and 6-9, each ultimately depending from one of independent claims 1 or 5, is unsupported by Nix and also should be withdrawn.

In addition, claim 2 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of Nix teach, "the applications comprising remote voice mail screening, call transfer to another phone prior to picking up the destination phone, midcall transfer to another phone, call logging, adding the call to an audio conference, putting the caller on hold, remote call hangup, ringing multiple phones on the incoming call, a screen popup on a personal computer that indicates who is calling, providing a picture of the caller, managing multiple devices and telephone numbers, controlling one button dialing from a phone, and voice dialing control". For at least this reason, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 2 is unsupported by Nix and should be withdrawn.

In addition, claim 3 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of Nix teach, "via the voice control portion, the IP device adapted to receive a voice command from a cell phone and determine a party to call from the voice command, the IP device adapted to cause an establishment of a connection between the cell phone and the party". For at least this reason, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 3 is unsupported by Nix and should be withdrawn.

In addition, claim 4 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of Nix teach, "the service application adapted to transfer a call from a cell phone to a PBX phone". For at least this reason, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 4 is unsupported by Nix and should be withdrawn.

In addition, claim 6 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of Nix teach, "the IP device adapted to receive a particular number to be dialed from a personal computer client, the personal computer client provide the number based

RECEIVED

OCT 18 2007

PATENT

Application 10/773,640

Attorney Docket 2003-0195 (1014-211)

upon a click". For at least this reason, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 6 is unsupported by Nix and should be withdrawn.

In addition, claim 8 states, *inter alia*, yet no substantial evidence has been presented that the applied portions of Nix teach, "the service application adapted to route the call to both a voice mail system and to a personal computer client, the service application adapted to connect the call to a PBX phone responsive to a request from the user indicative of a decision to pick up the call". For at least this reason, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claim 8 is unsupported by Nix and should be withdrawn.


### CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that the application is in clear condition for allowance. Reconsideration, withdrawal of all grounds of rejection, and issuance of a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments under 37 C.F.R. 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account 50-2504. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 434-972-9988 to discuss any matter regarding this application.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael Haynes PLC



Date: 17 October 2007

Michael N. Haynes

Registration 40,014

1341 Huntersfield Close

Keswick, VA 22947

Telephone: 434-972-9988

Facsimile: 815-550-8850